

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,443	02/10/2004	Gregory S. Bisacchi	HA0794 NP	9406	
23914	7590 08/26/2005		EXAMINER		
STEPHEN B. DAVIS BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY			AULAKH, C	AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 4000			1625		
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$oldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/775,443	BISACCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	igust 2005.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-10 and 21-27 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-20 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	aminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF FORM PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical strength 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 pages</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/775,443 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. According to paper filed on Aug. 15, 2005, the applicants have elected group II with traverse for further prosecution in response to restriction requirement.

2. Claims 1-27 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on Aug. 15, 2005 regarding restriction requirement have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner does not agree with the applicants arguments that a serious burdon would not be imposed to search all different X groups. As stated clearly in the last office action, the compounds of groups I through X are structurally divergent, classified in different classes and subclasses and therefore, constitutes a burdensome search. Thus, restriction requirement as indicated is proper and thereby made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating thrombosis using instant compounds of formula (I) directed to the elected group, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating every known thromboembolic disorder, factor VIIa-associated disorder or pharmaceutical compositions comprising any other therapeutic agent besides instant compounds of formula (I) directed to the elected group. The specification does not

Application/Control Number: 10/775,443

Art Unit: 1625

enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The following eight different factors (see Ex parte Foreman, 230 USPQ at 547; Wands, In re, 858.F. 2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400, Fed. Cir. 1988) must be considered in order for the specification to be enabling for what is being claimed:

Quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability and the breadth of claims. In the instant case, the specification is not enabling based on atleast four of the above mentioned eight different factors such as quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, the state of the prior art, presence or absence of working examples and the breadth of claims.

The instant compounds are inhibitors of factor VII a as mentioned in the specification on page 46, second paragraph. The specification also teaches that factor VIIa is one of the precursors present in the blood for formation of thrombin which catalyzes the conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin, a key enzyme responsible for blood clotting (see page 1 of specification). Based on these teachings, the instant compounds will have utility in treating thrombosis. However, there is no teaching either in the specification or prior art references provided showing well known utility of factor VIIa inhibitors alone in every known thromboembolic disorder, factor VIIa-associated disorder or utility of combination of factor VIIa inhibitors with any other therapeutic agent for treating any disease or disorder. There is no teaching either in the specification or prior art regarding all known

Application/Control Number: 10/775,443

Art Unit: 1625

disorders associated with factor VIIa and furthermore, whether these disorders are due to increased activity or decreased activity of factor VIIa etc. there are no working examples present showing efficacy of instant compounds in known animal models of every known thromboembolic disorder or disorders associated with factor VIIa. There is no teaching or guidance in the specification regarding effectiveness of combination of instant compounds with any other therapeutic agent in animal models of any disease condition. The instant compounds of formula (I) encompasses several hundreds of thopusands of compounds based on the values of variables R1-R3, W and X and therefore, in absence of such teachings, guidance and presence of working examples, it would require undue experimentation to demonstrate the effectiveness of instant compounds in animal models of every known thromboembolic disorder or disorders associated with factor VIIa following their in vivo administration and hence their utility for treating these disorders.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 6-10, 21 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6-10 recite the limitation "prodrug" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "SO2alkyl or SO2(phenyl) for variable X"in claim 1.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1625

In claim 21, the term ---thromboembolic disorder--- is indefinite since specific disorders are not defined.

In claims 24 and 25, the term ---other therapeutic agents selected from--- is indefinite since specific drugs are not defined.

In claim 26, the term---Factor VIIa-associated disorder---- is indefinite since specific disorders are not defined and furthermore, how are they associated with factor VIIa?

8. Claims 1-12 and 19-27 are objected for containing non-elected subject matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The instant compounds of formula (I) directed to the elected group, pharmaceutical composition containing these compounds and a method of treating thrombosis using these compounds are allowable over the prior art since they are neither disclosed nor obvious over the prior art. In the prior art, Ackermann (U.S. Patent 6,242,644, cited on applicant's form 1449) discloses N-(4-carbamimidoyl-phenyl)-glycine derivatives which are closely related to instant compounds. However, the closely related compounds (see compoundd of formula 1A in col. 4) disclosed by Ackermann differ from the instant compounds in having instant variable Z as phenyl group instead of an isoquinoline ring. 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/775,443

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

Page 6